UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,798	12/08/2005	Andreas Tagesson	PD53573US01	7482
58561 HARRITY & H	7590 03/09/201 IARRITY, LLP		EXAMINER	
11350 RANDO	M HILLS ROAD	NGUYEN, SIMON		
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA	22030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
TAGESSON ET Art Unit	AL.
2618	
	Reexamination TAGESSON ET Art Unit

Part of Paper No. 20100303

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 30 November 2009.
 Improper Request – The Regression (s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14, 16-17, Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>DUC NGUYEN</u> .	(3) <u>EDWARD URBAN</u> .
(2) <u>SIMON NGUYEN</u> .	(4)
/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Edward Urban/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618